

<b>Reference</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Details of Comment</b>	<b>Officer Comment</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>
679	Resident – Westbourne Grove	Happy and eager to see controls implemented – suggests no bays marked only single yellow line and resident only signs.	The technical and legal requirements for waiting restrictions are very specific as to which road markings and signage may be used to indicate restrictions and this combination of marking and signage is not permitted.	Proceed with proposals
681	Resident – Colemans Avenue	Happy with proposals – raises rat running and speeding which will be investigated separately.	No Comment.	Proceed with Proposals
675	Resident – Westbourne Grove	Happy with proposals – suggests extending hours of operation.	The scheme will be monitored and any amendments implemented where required.	Proceed with proposals
686	Resident – Southbourne Grove	Full agreement with the scheme.		Proceed with proposals
Email 3	Resident – Cardigan Avenue	Supports proposals with suggested changes to operational hours and bay layout.	The hours of operation have been advertised and to make this change would require the process to begin again.	Proceed with advertised proposal. We will be monitoring the scheme and if required can amend the operational hours

Reference	Source	Details of Comment	Officer Comment	Recommendation
671	Resident – Southbourne Grove	Unhappy with proposal – no previous consultation and is merely a revenue raising scheme.	Any scheme will not receive 100% support and we are guided by the level and content of responses. Revenue will be generated however this will contribute to subsidising resident’s permits and the robust enforcement of the area.	Proceed with proposal
683	Resident - Fairfax Drive	Will exacerbate parking problems for Fairfax Drive which has no parking and as the road is not included in the scheme, they will have no access to parking.	It may be possible to offer permits to a number of properties bordering the scheme but only where there is no parking provision in their street. The difficulty arising from this will be where to make the delineation – possible to consider based on permit take up (which will then indicate the numbers of resident’s bays being used).	Proceed with proposal and monitor permit take up and bay occupation
684	Resident – Southbourne Grove	Objects to proposals, will displace parking elsewhere and not resolve issues along with inconvenience to residents.	Displaced parking may be an issue however we will undertake post implementation surveys and compare parking levels in surrounding streets. It is possible to increase these schemes to resolve displaced parking.	Proceed with proposals

Reference	Source	Details of Comment	Officer Comment	Recommendation
PET	Residents – Southbourne Gardens	Petition with 13 signatories - object to double yellow lines – suggest signs only restricting parking from 9am to 4.30pm.	On a part time restriction, the placing of signs without requisite lines is not authorised and renders restrictions unenforceable.	Proceed with amendments outlined at ref 672
Email 1	Non Resident (on behalf of hospital users and visitors)	Against proposal, impeding access to hospital.	The proposal in no way hinders access to the hospital and merely controls the parking. Residents are severely disadvantaged by the inability to park near their homes and are generally welcoming of controls. Non residents are catered for with 170 parking spaces in the area in addition to parking within the hospital site.	Proceed as advertised
Email 2	Resident – Richmond Drive	Against proposals, no problem and suggests removing charges for hospital car park.	The consultation in 2009 resulted in 11 residents expressing support for controls with 10 residents against controls. We have no control over the hospital charging policy.	given support previously expressed, implement as proposed
670	Resident - Cardigan Avenue	Issue is not parking but two way traffic reduced to one lane due to parking – suggests turning road one way.	The urgency is to control parking, amending traffic flow may be possible and the area will be subject to monitoring for a period to identify potential changes for improvement.	Proceed with proposals
583959	Business – Southbourne Grove	Do not feel parking an issue - on street parking required for staff (although sustainable travel supported and promoted).	Permits available for those operating a business in the scheme but restricted to business vehicles and not staff. Can amend and issue staff permits if agreed.	Proceed with proposals and amend to incorporate limited number of business permits

<b>Reference</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Details of Comment</b>	<b>Officer Comment</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>
672	Resident – Southbourne Gardens	Objects to waiting restrictions proposed and suggest bays be provided	The road is very narrow at between 4.4 and 4.6m however it is possible to provide residents bays on one side if bollards along the footway are removed which would then allow for emergency vehicles to mount the footway and access the road. (emergency access should be 3.5 to 3.7m of clear carriageway) with a double yellow line partially in the east west section of the road and the remainder a single yellow line	Proceed with amendments
667	Resident – Prittlewell Chase	No comment but questions raised which have been addressed to residents satisfaction		
669	Resident – Rochester Drive	No comment but asking for small amendments	Amendments will be incorporated if bays do not encroach on driveways	Proceed with amendments if possible
668	Resident – Westbourne Grove	No comment – explanation of operation required	Traffic queues have been monitored at peak hours and bays at this location may create further delays to flow therefore the bays are being removed from the proposal	Proceed with amendments
689	Resident – Prittlewell Chase	Concerned proposal will affect traffic queues by lights	Traffic queues have been monitored at peak hours and bays at this location may create further delays to flow therefore the bays are being removed from the proposal	Proceed with amendments

724	Resident – Prittlewell Chase	Concerned proposal will affect traffic queues by lights	.	Proceed with amendments
723	Resident – Prittlewell Chase	Concerned proposal will affect traffic queues by lights	Traffic queues have been monitored at peak hours and bays at this location may create further delays to flow therefore the bays are being removed from the proposal	Proceed with amendments
721	Resident Southbourne Grove	Believes bays will exacerbate congestion. Raises questions re speed limit and off street parking which have been addressed	Bays have been positioned where informal parking currently takes places. Most properties have parking provision – suggest implement and monitor	Proceed with proposal
683	Resident – Southbourne Gardens	No comment offered but helpful suggestions as to restrictions/bays.	Suggestions incorporated as above	Proceed with amendments
722	Business – Prince Avenue	Concerned proposals will adversely affect staff who park on street	The current proposal does not offer business permits however this can be amended if required. Suggest discuss business permits but only available where green travel plan in place . space is available in side streets as there were plans for pay and display bays which were amended due to aesthetics of machines	Proceed and discuss needs with business
673	Resident - Carlton Avenue	No comment offered – suggests some residents bays provided in Queen Annes Drive near Carlton Avenue	Happy to make suggested amendments	Proceed with amendments

<b>Reference</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Details of Comment</b>	<b>Officer Comment</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>
681	Resident – Westbourne Grove	Raises questions which were responded to by email – no further comment received		
690	Resident – Westbourne Grove	Raises questions which have been responded to – no comment		Proceed with proposals
697	Resident – Carlton Avenue	Request for slight change to lines over driveway	Amendments can easily be incorporated	Proceed with proposals
Email 3	Resident – Rochester Drive	Supports scheme – suggests lowering of speed limit	Speeds will be monitored and potential reductions investigations	Proceed with proposals